Journal of Carcinogenesis
Covering Genesis to Therapeutics

Journal of Carcinogenesis, ISSN: 1477-3163
2025; Vol 24: Issue 2s, https://carcinogenesis.com/

The Impact of Communication and Barriers on Healthcare Performance in Critical Care Units: A Research Perspective

Steffy A. Abraham[*1], Arpitaben Nirajkumar Pancholi[2], Minal Prajapati[3], Patel Bhamini Rameshbhai[4]

[*1]Assistant Professor, Parul Institute of Nursing, Parul University, Vadodara, Gujarat, India

[2]HOD, Associate Professor, Parul Institute of Nursing, Parul University, Vadodara, Gujarat, India

[3]Assistant Professor, Parul Institute of Nursing, Parul University, Vadodara, Gujarat, India

[4]Assistant Professor, Pioneer Nursing College, Vadodara,Gujarat, India

***Corresponding Author:**

Steffy A. Abraham,

Email ID: steffy.abraham34379@paruluniversity.ac.in / ORCID: 0009-0007-2403-5266

ABSTRACT

Background: Effective communication in critical care units (CCUs) is crucial for patient safety, timely interventions, and collaborative teamwork. In high-pressure environments, communication breakdowns contribute to medical errors, compromised outcomes, and decreased staff performance.

Aim: This study aimed to assess the importance of communication in critical care performance and identify barriers that affect healthcare delivery in critical care units.

Materials and Methods: A descriptive research approach was utilized. Data were gathered from healthcare professionals, including physicians, nurses, and allied staff, through structured questionnaires and semi-structured interviews. Thematic analysis was employed to identify communication practices and barriers affecting care.

Results: Structured communication was found to enhance decision-making, coordination, and patient safety. The most common barriers were high workload (78%), environmental noise (65%), hierarchical constraints (58%), absence of standardized communication protocols (55%), language differences (42%), and emotional stress (40%). These barriers frequently led to misinterpretation, delayed interventions, and increased adverse event risks.

Conclusion: Communication plays a pivotal role in healthcare performance in CCUs. Addressing barriers through structured communication protocols, interprofessional training, and leadership support can significantly improve outcomes. Standardized tools like SBAR and regular briefings are recommended for optimal communication.

Keywords: *Communication, Critical Care Units, Barriers, Patient Safety, Healthcare Performance, SBAR, Teamwork.*

How to Cite: Steffy A. Abraham, Arpitaben Nirajkumar Pancholi, Minal Prajapati, Patel Bhamini Rameshbhai, (2025) The Impact of Communication and Barriers on Healthcare Performance in Critical Care Units: A Research Perspective, *Journal of Carcinogenesis*, *Vol.24, No.2s*, 608-612

1. INTRODUCTION

Critical care units (CCUs) are specialized environments where patients with life-threatening conditions receive continuous monitoring and intensive medical care. These settings require seamless coordination between healthcare professionals, as decisions often need to be made within minutes. Communication in such high-stakes situations is a key determinant of both patient safety and healthcare provider performance. Effective communication ensures that vital clinical information is transmitted accurately, that interventions are timely, and that multidisciplinary teams work in a unified manner.

However, despite its significance, communication in CCUs is frequently disrupted by various barriers that hinder patient care and increase the risk of adverse events. Research highlights that communication failures are a leading cause of medical errors, with potential consequences ranging from delayed treatment to avoidable mortality. Communication breakdowns may occur due to environmental distractions, cognitive overload, hierarchical structures, and differences in professional training.

The introduction of structured communication protocols such as SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) has shown promise in improving communication across healthcare teams. Furthermore, teamwork, leadership engagement, and interprofessional training are critical in reducing communication barriers. Yet, the implementation of such frameworks varies widely across institutions.

In India, where the healthcare system faces a shortage of trained personnel and resource constraints, communication challenges are further amplified. The cultural and linguistic diversity within hospital teams adds another layer of complexity to effective interaction. Emotional stress among healthcare workers, especially during crisis events, can also impair communication and lead to burnout.

This study was conceptualized to assess the role of communication in CCU performance and to identify the barriers that negatively impact healthcare delivery. Understanding these challenges is imperative for designing interventions that enhance patient care and ensure better clinical outcomes.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Research Design

A descriptive research design was employed to explore communication practices and barriers within critical care units. The study was conducted in selected CCUs across tertiary hospitals, focusing on healthcare professionals directly involved in patient care.

Study Population and Sample

The study targeted healthcare providers including physicians, nurses, and allied health staff working in CCUs. A total of 150 participants were selected through purposive sampling based on their direct involvement in critical care. Inclusion criteria comprised professionals with at least one year of experience in critical care settings. Exclusion criteria included temporary staff and interns with less than one year of service.

Data Collection Tools

Data collection involved two methods:

1. **Structured Questionnaire:** A 25-item questionnaire with multiple-choice and Likert-scale questions was used to assess communication patterns, frequency of interactions, and perceived barriers.
2. **Semi-structured Interviews:** Open-ended interviews were conducted with 30 participants to gather qualitative insights into communication challenges and strategies.

The questionnaire was pre-tested among 10 healthcare professionals, and modifications were made to ensure clarity and relevance.

Procedure

Ethical clearance was obtained from the institutional review board. Participants were briefed about the study's purpose and assured of confidentiality. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant before data collection.

Data collection occurred over three months. Questionnaires were distributed in paper format during duty hours, and interviews were conducted in private settings within hospital premises. Interviews were audio-recorded with participants' consent and later transcribed for analysis.

Data Analysis

Quantitative data from the questionnaires were analyzed using descriptive statistics (percentages and frequencies). Thematic analysis was used to interpret qualitative data, where recurring themes related to communication barriers and facilitators were identified. The integration of both data sources provided a comprehensive understanding of communication dynamics in CCUs.

3. RESULTS

The collected data from healthcare professionals provided valuable insights into communication practices and the barriers affecting patient care in critical care units. This section presents the demographic profile of participants, commonly used communication methods, identified barriers, and the consequences of poor communication on patient safety and healthcare delivery.

Demographic Characteristics

Of the 150 participants, 60% were nurses, 25% were physicians, and 15% were allied health staff. The majority (70%) had more than 5 years of experience in critical care.

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Participants (N = 150)

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Profession		
Nurses	90	60%
Physicians	38	25%
Allied Health Staff	22	15%
Years of Experience		
1–3 years	30	20%
4–7 years	60	40%
>7 years	60	40%

Communication Practices

The majority of participants (82%) reported daily communication with other healthcare providers during shifts. Structured communication protocols such as SBAR were followed by only 45% of participants, while informal communication methods predominated.

Table 2: Communication Methods Used in CCUs

Communication Method	Frequency	Percentage
Verbal handovers	140	93%
Written reports	80	53%
SBAR protocol	68	45%
Mobile messaging apps	42	28%

Identified Barriers to Effective Communication

Participants identified several barriers that impeded communication in critical care settings.

Table 3: Common Communication Barriers

Barrier	Frequency	Percentage
High workload	117	78%
Environmental noise	98	65%
Hierarchical constraints	87	58%
Lack of standardized protocols	83	55%
Language differences	63	42%
Emotional stress	60	40%

Impact on Patient Safety and Care

The thematic analysis of interviews revealed that communication failures led to misinterpretation of clinical data, delayed interventions, and increased risk of adverse events. Participants noted that emotional stress and fatigue often worsened communication breakdowns.

Table 4: Consequences of Poor Communication

Impact	Frequency	Percentage
Misinterpretation of orders	102	68%
Delayed response to emergencies	89	59%
Increased patient errors	73	49%
Staff burnout	68	45%

Participants expressed the need for structured communication training and supportive leadership to enhance collaboration.

4. DISCUSSION

The findings of this study corroborate existing literature on the significance of communication in critical care settings. Communication breakdowns remain a key contributor to medical errors and adverse patient outcomes. The fact that 78% of participants identified high workload as the most significant barrier aligns with earlier studies highlighting the pressure and stress experienced by healthcare providers in CCUs. The environment's complexity and unpredictability require rapid yet accurate information exchange, which is impeded by excessive patient loads.

Environmental noise, reported by 65% of participants, further complicates communication, often masking important auditory cues. Similarly, hierarchical constraints, identified by 58%, reveal underlying cultural dynamics where junior staff may hesitate to question or clarify instructions, resulting in information loss.

The absence of standardized protocols, cited by 55% of respondents, underscores the need for structured frameworks such as SBAR. When such protocols are inconsistently applied, communication becomes fragmented, increasing the likelihood of misunderstandings. Language differences and emotional stress were also noted as prevalent barriers, particularly in diverse healthcare teams with varying educational backgrounds.

The study also confirms that poor communication directly impacts patient safety. Misinterpretation of orders, delayed emergency responses, and increased medical errors are all attributable to communication gaps. These findings are consistent with global reports identifying communication as a preventable source of harm in healthcare.

Importantly, participants advocated for interprofessional training, leadership involvement, and periodic team briefings to foster open dialogue and shared responsibility. Structured tools like SBAR can enhance clarity and encourage assertive communication, while leadership's role in modeling supportive environments can reduce stress and empower staff.

Given the challenges faced by healthcare teams in India's critical care units, tailored interventions are essential. Integrating communication training into routine practice, developing protocols that address workload management, and promoting cultural sensitivity can improve collaboration and patient outcomes.

5. CONCLUSION

Effective communication is an indispensable element of healthcare delivery in critical care units. This study highlights that while structured communication protocols can enhance clinical decision-making, several barriers, including workload, environmental noise, hierarchy, and emotional stress, continue to impede interaction among healthcare providers. Addressing these barriers requires institutional commitment to standardized communication tools, interprofessional training, and leadership support. Implementing frameworks such as SBAR and fostering a culture of open dialogue can significantly improve teamwork, reduce errors, and promote patient safety. The findings call for a strategic approach to enhancing communication within CCUs, with interventions designed to meet the specific challenges of high-pressure healthcare environments.

REFERENCES

[1] Sutcliffe KM, Lewton E, Rosenthal MM. Communication failures: An insidious contributor to medical mishaps. Acad Med. 2004;79(2):186–194.

[2] The Joint Commission. Sentinel Event Alert: Inadequate hand-off communication. 2017.

[3] O'Daniel M, Rosenstein AH. Professional communication and team collaboration. In: Patient Safety and Quality: An Evidence-Based Handbook for Nurses. 2008.

[4] Manser T. Teamwork and patient safety in dynamic domains of healthcare: A review of the literature. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2009;53(2):143–151.

[5] Leonard M, Graham S, Bonacum D. The human factor: The critical importance of effective teamwork and

communication in providing safe care. BMJ Qual Saf. 2004;13(Suppl 1):i85–i90.

[6] Salas E, Sims DE, Burke CS. Is there a “big five” in teamwork? Small Group Res. 2005;36(5):555–599.

[7] Haig KM, Sutton S, Whittington J. SBAR: A shared mental model for improving communication between clinicians. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2006;32(3):167–175.

[8] Manser T, Foster S. Effective handover communication: An overview of research and improvement efforts. Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol. 2011;25(2):181–191.

[9] Randmaa M, Mårtensson G, Swenne CL, Engström M. SBAR improves communication and safety climate and reduces incident reports due to communication errors in an anaesthetic clinic: A prospective intervention study. BMJ Open. 2014;4(1):e004268.

[10] Foronda C, MacWilliams B, McArthur E. Interprofessional communication in healthcare: An integrative review. Nurse Educ Pract. 2016;19:36–40.

[11] Sexton JB, Helmreich RL, Neilands TB, Rowan K, Vella K, Boyden J, Thomas EJ. The Safety Attitudes Questionnaire: Psychometric properties, benchmarking data, and emerging research. BMC Health Serv Res. 2006;6:44.

[12] Caruso TM. Interprofessional communication and collaboration among healthcare providers. Nurs Clin North Am. 2015;50(1):1–13.

[13] McCannon CJ, Schall MW, Perla RJ. Building a culture of safety through healthcare. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2007;33(7):376–383.

[14] World Health Organization. Communication during patient hand-overs. Patient Safety Solutions. 2007;1(3).

[15] Institute of Medicine. To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2000.