Journal of Carcinogenesis
Covering Genesis to Therapeutics
Journal of Carcinogenesis, ISSN: 1477-3163 2026;
Vol 25: Issue 1, https://carcinogenesis.com/

Improving Clinical Laboratory Quality: Assessing Future Readiness and Healthcare Expectations from the Views of Medical Professionals and Laboratory Experts

Fahad Hassan Algusheri[1], Ibrahim Abdullah Alraqibah[2], Ahmed Mohammed Alshehri[3], Alaa Hassan Almeleihi[4]

[1] Clinical Laboratory Sciences
[2] Clinical Laboratory Sciences
[3] Clinical Laboratory Sciences
[4] Clinical Laboratory Sciences

ABSTRACT

Medical decisions are influenced by clinical laboratories, which are essential to healthcare. To give precise, timely insights for diagnosis and treatment, laboratories must innovate with automation and data analytics as the industry shifts toward precision medicine and digital tools. Investments in technology, training, and system integration are critical to future success. By gathering opinions from doctors and clinical laboratory experts, this study evaluated Rwandan clinical referral laboratories' preparedness to implement cutting-edge technology and identified obstacles and critical elements influencing their acceptance in fulfilling healthcare standards.
Methods: Three sources of data were gathered using a qualitative design: (1) observations, (2) key informant interviews (KIIs) with laboratory staff, and (3) a survey of physicians regarding laboratory services. Using an inductive reflective thematic approach, data from the three sources were first coded independently before being combined to create subthemes (Braun & Clarke). Two final themes were developed by aligning and refining subthemes from various datasets.
In conclusion, critical areas such infrastructure, specialized personnel, equipment maintenance, and supply chain management must be strengthened to maximize clinical laboratory services. To effectively integrate cutting-edge technologies and fulfill changing healthcare demands, services must be reshaped using the insights of a multidisciplinary workforce that includes laboratory specialists.

Keywords: *Medical laboratory, Quality, Healthcare, Laboratory Experts, Healthcare expectation, Clinical Laboratory.*

INTRODUCTION

Clinical laboratories are at a critical point in the rapidly changing healthcare industry, where new technologies have the potential to drastically change the field. These developments are expected to improve patient outcomes, increase diagnostic accuracy, and simplify the delivery of healthcare. The integration of this cutting-edge technology and a strong ability to adjust to changing healthcare requirements are critical to the fitness of clinical laboratories. Clinical laboratories must constantly innovate, embrace interdisciplinary collaboration, and engage in staff education and training to stay at the forefront of medical diagnostics as the healthcare industry moves toward preventive and precision medicine (Haymond , 2021).
Several crucial elements, such as infrastructure, personnel knowledge, sufficient equipment, regulatory compliance, and financial resources, must be taken into consideration to guarantee that clinical laboratories are prepared for technological improvements. The capacity and precision of clinical laboratories are critical to the accomplishment of many Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), especially the third objective and universal health coverage. Considering this vital function, it is imperative to make significant national and international investments in the advancement of clinical laboratories. This investment can assist public health programs, enhance health outcomes, and accomplish more general health-related objectives (Patel , 2016; De Bruyne, 2021).

Most laboratories in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC) are still having difficulty adhering to quality regulation norms, even though more significant investments are needed to transition to the new technological era. For these laboratories to close the current gaps primarily in infrastructure, laboratory personnel, dependable supply chains, and visionary governance to shift the paradigm a significant amount of rethinking and funding will be needed (Arikat, 2024).

© 2026 Journal of Carcinogenesis | Published for Carcinogenesis Press by Wolters Kluwer-Medknow

Accurate diagnosis and prompt treatment are further hampered by LMIC laboratories' restricted access to cutting-edge technology and equipment. As a result, patients continue to experience excessive wait times and subpar service, and healthcare expectations are not fulfilled. On the other hand, imagining a time when these labs get past current obstacles offers a bright future (Naugler , 2019).

LMICs can improve diagnostic capabilities and expand access to high-quality healthcare to underprivileged populations and rural places by utilizing cutting-edge solutions including telemedicine platforms, digitalized health records, and point-of-care testing devices. Additionally, technology transfer programs and strategic alliances with foreign organizations can help mobilize resources and exchange information, promoting the long-term growth of clinical laboratory infrastructure (Plebani , 2019).

METHODOLOGY

The National Reference Laboratory (NRL), which functions independently of any institution, and four teaching and referral hospitals participated in the study. These hospitals, which offer tertiary-level medical care, are at the top of the national healthcare referral system. This level of treatment comes after primary-level services provided by health centers and secondary-level services at district hospitals. These five labs oversee all other clinical laboratories and are the only tertiary-level facilities in the nation. They were specifically chosen because they have the best chance of introducing cutting-edge diagnostic technologies and facilitating their eventual adoption at the district laboratory level.

Design

Three sequential data sources were included in this qualitative study design: (1) observations, (2) Key Informant Interviews (KIIs), and (3) a physician survey. From the first to the third phase, each phase's design was influenced by the lessons learned. The three stages produced information for a more extensive study. We only examined the portion of the data that addressed the current study issue in this paper. By capturing the dynamics of laboratory practice and providing insights regarding their prospective willingness to adopt innovative technology, the combination of data from the three phases each offering a unique perspective offered a clear benefit.

Laboratory Quality, Accreditation, and Challenges

Three of the five referral laboratories that were evaluated were certified to follow ISO 15,189 criteria between 2020 and 2024. This accreditation undoubtedly signifies a major improvement in laboratory quality. Rwanda also shown a strong commitment to investing in healthcare facilities and personnel to raise the standard of care. Furthermore, by creating a strong legislative framework that supports the incorporation of cutting-edge instruments like genetic analysis, aided diagnostics, and artificial intelligence, the nation is dedicated to the adoption of cutting-edge diagnostic technologies. However, to facilitate successful implementation, structural and systemic constraints must be addressed. The laboratory system is nevertheless burdened by the concerns mentioned in the analysis, including inadequate equipment maintenance, supply chain problems, specialized people and an uneven skill mix, restricted working space, and enabling infrastructure. Scholarly research emphasizes that addressing these barriers is necessary before adopting cutting-edge technologies to meet and improve healthcare services (Gopal et al., 2019).

These evaluations show that there are still significant obstacles in the way of improving laboratory infrastructure and guaranteeing sufficient workspace. Furthermore, despite continuous improvement, national data systems are still not fully interoperable. Centralized data-governance platforms and electronic medical records are still not fully integrated with laboratory information systems. The health system's ability to accommodate sophisticated laboratory platforms and sophisticated diagnostic technologies is severely limited by these gaps in the physical and digital infrastructure. Therefore, even though national policies and strategic frameworks make this clear, the successful adoption and scale-up of innovative diagnostic tools will necessitate focused efforts to address laboratory infrastructure limitations, strengthen data-management ecosystems, and establish sustainable maintenance and quality-assurance mechanisms (AL Thagafi et al., 2022).

Physicians and laboratory personnel:

A well-trained and retained laboratory workforce, especially specialists in important medical laboratory disciplines, is crucial for bolstering the diagnostic system, according to participants who included physicians and laboratory staff. They also emphasized how workforce constraints are exacerbated by more general systemic issues, such as irregular reagent and consumable supply, poor equipment maintenance, and gaps in quality management systems, all of which impair laboratory performance and eventually lower the standard of healthcare delivery. The system's capacity to satisfy clinical standards is nonetheless hampered by these obstacles (Wilson et al., 2018).

Improving labor skills is essential to improving the system. In addition to helping to address current operational and infrastructural shortcomings, skilled specialists are crucial for developing, implementing, and maintaining diagnostic advancements. However, in order to achieve the nation's health priority targets, the adoption of advanced technologies in laboratory medicine will necessitate not only increased expertise within medical laboratory specialties but also collaboration with multidisciplinary professionals, such as data scientists, bioinformaticians, engineers, and IT specialists, to ensure their effective integration and impact (Sayed et al., 2018; Turner et al., 2021).

Strategic Development of Clinical Laboratories:

In this paper, we make the case for the critical role that clinical laboratories play in healthcare settings, which might be improved by cutting-edge technology, genomics, and precision medicine. To repackage and reconfigure clinical laboratories in terms of workforce skill and development, physical and technology infrastructure, and a well-performing laboratory system, the study's findings highlighted the necessity of a strategic and comprehensive approach. The goal of such repackaging and reshaping is to ensure that they satisfy the demands of modern healthcare and the use of cutting-edge technologies that open the door to sophisticated and efficient diagnostics, which will eventually benefit patients.

It is crucial to overcome these obstacles to implement the cutting-edge technology, which is becoming increasingly necessary and to which the Rwandan healthcare system has subscribed as a strategy to provide advanced healthcare. This is especially true for specialized laboratory personnel who would spearhead the revolutionary laboratory system improvement. By doing this, it will be easier to incorporate contemporary advances and improve the delivery of high-quality healthcare services, which will eventually improve patient outcomes (Horton et al., 2018; Oduoye et al., 2024).

A genuine gap in referral laboratories was found by the methodological approach, which combined observations from assessors that included two members of the research team with viewpoints from doctors and laboratory staff based on their regular service delivery. We acknowledge the limitations of the study's findings' generalizability and application in other contexts due to their context specificity, even if they closely resemble the difficulties encountered by certain other low- and middle-income nations (Cadamuro , 2023; Nkengasong , 2018).

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the study findings, several recommendations are proposed to strengthen clinical laboratory quality, improve service delivery, and enhance the readiness of referral laboratories to adopt advanced diagnostic technologies:

1. **Strengthening Laboratory Infrastructure:** Healthcare authorities should prioritize investment in laboratory infrastructure, including adequate working space, modern facilities, reliable electricity, environmental controls, and appropriate technological resources to support efficient laboratory operations and the implementation of advanced diagnostic technologies.
2. **Developing and Retaining Specialized Laboratory Personnel:** Sustainable workforce development strategies should be implemented to recruit, train, and retain qualified laboratory professionals, particularly specialists in molecular diagnostics, genomics, clinical chemistry, hematology, and other essential laboratory disciplines. Continuous professional development and specialized training programs should be established to ensure that laboratory personnel can effectively operate and maintain emerging technologies.
3. **Improving Equipment Maintenance and Management:** Clinical laboratories should establish comprehensive preventive maintenance programs, supported by regular equipment performance assessments, timely technical servicing, and adequate availability of spare parts. Strengthening technical support systems will help minimize equipment downtime and ensure the reliability and continuity of laboratory services.
4. **Strengthening Supply Chain Management:** Healthcare authorities and laboratory management should improve procurement, inventory management, and distribution systems to ensure the consistent availability of reagents, consumables, and essential laboratory supplies. Establishing effective stock-monitoring mechanisms and reliable procurement strategies is necessary to reduce interruptions in diagnostic services.
5. **Enhancing Laboratory Quality Management Systems:** Referral laboratories should strengthen their quality management systems in accordance with internationally recognized standards, particularly ISO 15189. Continuous quality assessment, internal quality control, external quality assessment, and regular laboratory audits should be integrated into routine operations to maintain diagnostic accuracy, reliability, and patient safety.
6. **Accelerating Digital Transformation and Data Integration:** Greater investment is needed in laboratory information systems (LIS), electronic medical records, and interoperable health information platforms. Establishing effective data-sharing mechanisms between clinical laboratories and healthcare facilities will improve access to laboratory results, support clinical decision-making, and enhance the efficiency of healthcare delivery.
7. **Promoting Multidisciplinary Collaboration:** Collaboration between physicians, laboratory professionals, biomedical engineers, information technology specialists, and bioinformaticians should be strengthened. Such collaboration is essential

© 2026 Journal of Carcinogenesis | Published for Carcinogenesis Press by Wolters Kluwer-Medknow

for identifying healthcare needs, improving laboratory performance, and facilitating the successful integration of advanced diagnostic technologies.

8. Establishing a Strategic Framework for Advanced Diagnostic Technologies: National healthcare authorities should develop a phased implementation strategy for introducing emerging technologies, including molecular diagnostics, genomic testing, automation, and artificial intelligence. Technology adoption should be guided by laboratory readiness assessments, clinical needs, available resources, cost-effectiveness, and sustainable operational requirements.

9. Strengthening Governance and Sustainable Financing: Policymakers should ensure adequate and sustainable financial resources for laboratory development, equipment replacement, workforce training, infrastructure improvement, and quality assurance activities. Clear governance mechanisms and institutional accountability are also needed to support the long-term sustainability of laboratory services.

10.Conducting Continuous Monitoring and Future Research: Further research should evaluate the effectiveness of laboratory quality improvement initiatives, workforce development programs, and technological implementation strategies. Regular assessments involving physicians and laboratory professionals are also recommended to identify changing healthcare expectations, monitor service performance, and guide future laboratory development priorities. Overall, improving clinical laboratory quality requires an integrated and sustainable approach that combines infrastructure development, specialized workforce capacity, effective quality management, reliable supply chains, and digital transformation. Addressing these priorities will strengthen the readiness of clinical laboratories to adopt advanced diagnostic technologies and respond effectively to evolving healthcare needs.

CONCLUSION

To sum up, clinical laboratories are essential to providing healthcare and fulfilling patient expectations. This essay identifies important issues that need to be resolved to live up to these expectations. These include bolstering supply chain processes, creating competent and specialized personnel, enhancing equipment maintenance, and fortifying both digital and physical infrastructure. To optimize these elements and modernize the laboratory landscape, a systems-level strategy utilizing interdisciplinary skills is needed. Rwanda has a significant commitment to investing in the health of its population, as seen by its development plan. This investment includes using contemporary technology to improve diagnostic services like laboratories. Advanced technology can be more successfully incorporated by resolving the issues raised, which will ultimately improve service quality, improve health outcomes, and better satisfy patient expectations.

REFERENCES

1. Haymond S, McCudden C. Rise of the machines: artificial intelligence and the clinical laboratory. J Appl Lab Med. 2021;6(6):1640–54.
2. Patel R, editor. New developments in clinical bacteriology laboratories. Mayo Clinic Proceedings. 2016:91(10):1448-59.
3. De Bruyne S, Speeckaert MM, Van Biesen W, Delanghe JR. Recent evolutions of machine learning applications in clinical laboratory medicine. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci. 2021;58(2):131–52.
4. Arikat S, Saboor M. Evolving role of clinical laboratories in precision medicine: a narrative review. J Lab Precision Med. 2024;9:17. 10.21037/jlpm-23-9.
5. Naugler C, Church DL. Automation and artificial intelligence in the clinical laboratory. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci. 2019;56(2):98–110.
6. Plebani M. Navigating between technology and professionalism: key points for the future of clinical laboratories. J Lab Precision Med. 2019;4:32.
7. Gopal G, Suter-Crazzolara C, Toldo L, Eberhardt W. Digital transformation in healthcare–architectures of present and future information technologies. Clin Chem Lab Med (CCLM). 2019;57(3):328–35.
8. AL Thagafi SH, Mutairi AL, Qassem AA, AL Sbeay OK, Sowailim NEAL. Revolutionizing healthcare: the technological transformation of medical laboratory outcomes. EPH-International J Biol Pharm Sci. 2022;8(1):1–8.
9. Wilson ML, Fleming KA, Kuti MA, Looi LM, Lago N, Ru K. Access to pathology and laboratory medicine services: a crucial gap. Lancet. 2018;391(10133):1927–38. 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30458-6
10. Sayed S, Cherniak W, Lawler M, Tan SY, El Sadr W, Wolf N, et al. Improving pathology and laboratory medicine in low-income and middle-income countries: roadmap to solutions. Lancet. 2018;391(10133):1939–52.
11. Horton S, Sullivan R, Flanigan J, Fleming KA, Kuti MA, Looi LM, et al. Delivering modern, high-quality, affordable pathology and laboratory medicine to low-income and middle-income countries: a call to action. Lancet. 2018;391(10133):1953–64.

12. Cadamuro J. Disruption vs. evolution in laboratory medicine. Current challenges and possible strategies, making laboratories and the laboratory specialist profession fit for the future. Clin Chem Lab Med (CCLM). 2023;61(4):558–66.
13. Nkengasong JN, Yao K, Onyebujoh P. Laboratory medicine in low-income and middle-income countries: progress and challenges. Lancet. 2018;391(10133):1873–5.
14. .Oduoye MO, Fatima E, Muzammil MA, Dave T, Irfan H, Fariha F, et al. Impacts of the advancement in artificial intelligence on laboratory medicine in low-and middle-income countries: challenges and recommendations A literature review. Health Sci Rep. 2024;7(1):e1794.
15. Turner P, Rupali P, Opintan JA, Jaoko W, Feasey NA, Peacock SJ, et al. Laboratory informatics capacity for effective antimicrobial resistance surveillance in resource-limited settings. Lancet Infect Dis. 2021;21(6):e170–4.

© 2026 Journal of Carcinogenesis | Published for Carcinogenesis Press by Wolters Kluwer-Medknow