Journal of Carcinogenesis
Covering Genesis to Therapeutics

Journal of Carcinogenesis, ISSN: 1477-3163
2025; Vol 24: Issue 8s, https://carcinogenesis.com/

Health Laws In India & Chemical Health Risks: An Analytical Overview

Prof. (Dr.) Aradhana Parmar[1], Dr. Anju Gupta[2], Dr. Namita Jain[3], Ms. Akshita Agarwal[4], Dr. Astha Poonia[5], Dr. Anjali Khandelwal[6]

[1]Director (Research) & Dean, Faculty of Law, Maharishi Arvind University, Jaipur

Email Id: aradhana.parmar14@gmail.com

[2]Head of the Department of Liberal Studies & Political Science, JECRC University, Jaipur

Email Id: hod.political@jecrcu.edu.in

[3]Associate Professor & Head of the Department, School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur

Email id: jainnamita12@gmail.com

[4]Assistant Professor II, Department of Liberal Studies & Political Science, JECRC University, Jaipur.

Email Id: akshita.agarwal@jecrcu.edu.in

[5]Assistant Professor I, School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur

Email Id: astha.poonia@jecrcu.edu.in

[6]Assistant Professor I, School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur

Email Id: anjali.khandelwal@jecrcu.edu.in

ABSTRACT

Health laws in India represent a crucial intersection of constitutional guarantees, statutory provisions, and regulatory frameworks aimed at safeguarding public health. With the increasing industrialization, urbanization, and widespread use of chemicals in agriculture, pharmaceuticals, food processing, and manufacturing, chemical health risks have emerged as a significant concern. This paper presents an analytical overview of the legal landscape governing health in India, with special emphasis on chemical exposure and its impact on human well-being. It examines the constitutional foundation under Articles 21, 39(e), 47, and 48A, along with key legislations such as the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940; Environment (Protection) Act, 1986; Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006; and Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020. Judicial pronouncements and public interest litigations have further expanded the ambit of health rights, recognizing the State's responsibility in preventing hazardous chemical exposure. Despite these frameworks, challenges persist in enforcement, regulatory overlap, lack of awareness, and inadequate health infrastructure. By critically analyzing existing legal mechanisms, regulatory bodies, and global best practices, this study highlights the urgent need for robust policy implementation, scientific risk assessment, and stronger preventive measures. Ultimately, the paper argues that effective regulation of chemical health risks is essential not only to ensure the right to health as a fundamental right but also to achieve sustainable development and inter-generational equity.

How to Cite: Prof. (Dr.) Aradhana Parmar, Dr. Anju Gupta, Dr. Namita Jain, Ms. Akshita Agarwal, Dr. Astha Poonia, Dr. Anjali Khandelwal, (2025) Health Laws In India & Chemical Health Risks: An Analytical Overview, *Journal of Carcinogenesis*, *Vol.24, No.8s*, 511-517

1. INTRODUCTION

Chemical substances permeate modern life: in industry, agriculture, consumer products, water, food, air, and waste. While chemicals bring technological progress, they also introduce health risks—some immediate and acute, others chronic, subtle, cumulative, or long-latent. For India, with its vast population, diverse industrial base, agricultural economy, and significant informal sector, the balance between economic growth and safe chemical management is particularly challenging. The effectiveness of health and environmental laws is critical to protect workers, communities, and ecosystems from chemical harm.

This article examines India's legal architecture for chemical health protection, analyses the types and scale of chemical health risks, reviews institutional mechanisms and case studies, identifies gaps and challenges, and offers recommendations toward a more robust regime. The goal is to provide an integrated, critical, and forward-looking assessment.

2. INDIAN LEGAL & REGULATORY FRAMEWORK FOR CHEMICAL HEALTH PROTECTION

Foundation: Umbrella Laws & Delegated Rules

India does not yet have a single omnibus chemical safety statute. The regulatory architecture is composite, built around broad "umbrella" laws with delegated powers to frame rules. The keystone is the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (EPA). Under it, the central government may make rules to protect the environment and regulate hazardous substances. Many of the current chemical safety rules derive authority from this Act.

One of the earliest and most important instruments is the Manufacture, Storage and Import of Hazardous Chemicals (MSIHC) Rules, 1989, promulgated under the EPA. These rules prescribe thresholds for "hazardous chemicals," define "major accident hazard (MAH) units," require safety measures, emergency planning, and notification of chemical inventories.

Complementing the MSIHC rules are the Chemical Accidents (Emergency Planning, Preparedness and Response) Rules, 1996, dealing specifically with accident prevention, crisis group formation at various levels (central, state, district), and off-site emergency plans.

To manage hazardous waste, India has enacted the Hazardous Waste (Management and Handling) Rules, which control generation, transport, treatment, and disposal of chemical wastes under the "cradle to grave" principle.

In the labour domain, the Occupational Safety, Health, and Working Conditions Code, 2020 (OSHWC Code, 2020) consolidates and updates earlier labour statutes (e.g. Factories Act) to regulate worker safety, including exposure to harmful chemicals and related conditions.

In the domain of agriculture and pest control, the Insecticides Act, 1968 and associated rules (1971) regulate manufacture, sale, import, distribution, and use of pesticides, seeking to minimize risks to humans and non-targets.

In the food domain, the Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 consolidates various food safety and adulteration laws, and the Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI) sets and enforces tolerances for chemical residues in food.

Beyond national laws, India is party to several international chemical treaties—for example, the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs), and is advancing the draft India Chemicals (Management & Safety) Rules (ICMSR / CMSR / "India REACH") to move toward a more unified, lifecycle-based regulation of chemicals.

The Emerging ICMSR / Chemical Life-Cycle Regime

Recognizing fragmentation in India's chemical regulation, the government has proposed the Indian Chemicals (Management & Safety) Rules (ICMSR), also termed "India REACH." The draft rules envisage notification, registration, restriction or prohibition of substances, labelling, packaging, import controls, and obligations on downstream users.

Under ICMSR, new or existing substances above 1 tonne per annum (TPA) would require notification, and certain "priority substances" would require full registration (submit safety data).

The rules also propose establishment of a National Chemical Authority (with Steering, Scientific, and Risk Assessment Committees) to oversee implementation. The draft rules align India more closely with EU REACH, adapting hazard communication (e.g. GHS), dossier submission, and downstream user obligations. The timeline envisages 18 months for compliance after enforcement, with initial notification periods and transition provisions.

Analyses have noted that India's existing regulation is highly fragmented with overlapping jurisdictions, retrospective and reactive risk acceptance, and limited scientific grounding in many rules. The ICMSR, if effectively adopted and implemented, could represent a more integrated, risk-based, lifecycle chemical governance framework.

3. CHEMICAL HEALTH RISKS IN INDIA: NATURE, SCALE, SOURCES

Varieties of Chemical Health Risks

Chemical exposure can produce a spectrum of health effects. Acute toxicity—such as poisoning, burns, respiratory distress—is often visible when incidents or accidents occur. More insidiously, chronic effects—like cancer, kidney or liver disease, respiratory ailments (asthma, chronic bronchitis), neurological or neurodevelopmental disorders, reproductive or developmental toxicity, endocrine disruption—emerge over years or decades. Low-dose exposures, chemical mixtures, bioaccumulation (especially for persistent chemicals or heavy metals), and cumulative exposures exacerbate risk profiles.

The route of exposure may be inhalation, ingestion (e.g. via contaminated food or water), or dermal absorption. Vulnerable populations—workers, children, pregnant women, communities near industrial zones—face disproportionate burdens.

4. SOURCES AND EXPOSURE PATHWAYS

1. Occupational Exposure

Workers in chemical manufacturing, petrochemicals, dyes, tanneries, battery recycling, metal plating, agrochemical production, and pesticide application face direct exposures to solvents (benzene, toluene, xylene), heavy metals (lead, mercury, cadmium, chromium), and other hazardous agents. Many of these workers operate in small, informal, or poorly regulated enterprises with weak enforcement of safety standards. A review on occupational hazards in the chemical industry in India underlines the challenge of awareness, lack of protective controls, and significant health burdens.

2. Environmental / Community Exposure

Emissions from industry, effluent discharge into water bodies, leakages, dumping of chemical wastes cause contamination of air, soil, groundwater, and food chains. Sites contaminated with POPs, heavy metals, persistent organic pollutants plague many regions. The legacy of industrial pollution, mining, chemical spills or leaks, e-waste recycling all contribute to environmental chemical risk. The exposure of residents near chemical plants or hazardous waste sites may be chronic and poorly monitored.

3. Pesticides / Agrochemicals

India's heavy use of pesticides introduces risks through occupational handling, spray drift, residue on food, runoff into water bodies, and biomagnification. A recent study highlights environmental and health hazards from pesticide pollution in India, including bioaccumulation and ecological disruption. India maintains a list of banned or restricted pesticides (some banned for domestic use but still manufactured for export).

4. Chemical Accidents and Catastrophes

Major accidents—gas leaks, explosions, spills—produce acute exposures affecting workers and surrounding communities. The Bhopal gas tragedy (1984) remains a prime example. More recently, the Visakhapatnam gas leak (2020) involving suspected styrene release caused loss of life and raised questions about regulatory oversight and emergency preparedness.

5. Consumer and Household Exposure

Consumers may face exposure from chemical additives, dyes, flame retardants, plasticizers, industrial dyes in textiles, residual chemicals in food, and heavy metals in traditional remedies (e.g. some Ayurvedic preparations found to contain lead, mercury, arsenic). The pervasiveness of hazardous chemicals in multiple consumer products underscores the need for regulation along entire value chains.

5. SCALE, TRENDS, AND RISKS

India's chemical industry is large and growing. It contributes significantly to manufacturing output and export. According to safety advocates, India is governed by over 15 acts and 19 rules touching the chemical sector, yet none exclusively address chemical safety comprehensively. The fragmented approach has been critiqued as ineffective in protecting human health and environment. Reports on chemical health risk exposure in India emphasize that workers across sectors are suffering disease burdens tied to lead, mercury, manganese, chromium, cadmium, benzene, pesticides, and asbestos. Studies on contaminated sites and endocrine disruption suggest widespread latent hazards, often disproportionately affecting marginalized communities.

While comprehensive national epidemiological assessments are lacking, case studies reveal acute and chronic health effects tied to chemical exposure, and many communities live with water or soil contamination from previous industrial activity, chemical dumping or leaks.

6. INSTITUTIONAL MECHANISMS, ENFORCEMENT & CASE ILLUSTRATIONS

Institutional Roles and Coordination

India's regulation of chemical safety involves multiple Ministries and agencies: Environment (MoEFCC), Chemicals & Petrochemicals, Agriculture, Health, Labour, Disaster Management (NDMA), and Pollution Control Boards (CPCB and state PCBs). Enforcement is decentralized, with states playing a large role. The multiplicity of laws and overlapping jurisdictions often create coordination challenges.

In the proposed ICMSR framework, the National Chemical Authority—comprising Steering, Scientific, Risk Assessment Committees, and a Chemical Regulatory Division—is envisaged as central oversight, coordinating across ministries and overseeing risk assessment, registration, and compliance.

Labor departments and inspectorates are charged under the OSHWC Code to enforce worker safety and chemical exposure standards. Pollution control boards monitor environmental emissions, hazardous waste, and compliance with environmental safety rules. The NDMA plays a role in chemical accident preparedness and response; research institutions (e.g. toxicology, occupational health bodies) support scientific analysis.

Despite these structures, in practice, resource and capacity constraints, varying technical expertise across states, and lack of strong coordination hinder enforcement.

7. CASE STUDIES

1. Bhopal Gas Tragedy (1984)

Probably the most infamous industrial chemical disaster globally, the Bhopal gas leak exposed over half a million people to methyl isocyanate and other chemicals. The disaster caused thousands of deaths and countless chronic health effects among survivors. It triggered major legal, policy and regulatory reforms, including stricter chemical safety rules, the principle of absolute liability in judicial decisions (M.C. Mehta vs. Union of India), and heightened public awareness about industrial chemical hazards.

2. Visakhapatnam Gas Leak (2020)

A chemical leak in Andhra Pradesh (suspected styrene) led to fatalities and widespread concern. Investigations pointed to safety lapses, inadequate oversight, poor maintenance, and lack of community preparedness. It underlined the vulnerabilities in emergency planning and enforcement in chemical storage facilities**.**

3. Occupational Exposures and Worker Health

Empirical studies and reviews document chemical hazards in Indian industry. For instance, Salvi et al. (2022) review occupational hazards in the chemical industry, highlighting exposure to solvents, heavy metals, and inadequate control mechanisms in many workplaces. Accounts summarized in advocacy literature note deaths, chronic disease, and neurological or organ impairments among workers exposed to lead, mercury, chromium, pesticides, and asbestos.

4. Pesticide Use and Residue Risks

India continues to deploy many classes of pesticides, and studies indicate biomagnifications and ecosystem risks. Kashyap et al. (2024) explore environmental and health risks from pesticide pollution. The regulatory response has included banning or restricting certain pesticides, though these measures face implementation challenges. The official list of banned/restricted pesticides shows some chemicals still permitted for export though banned domestically.

5. Contaminated Sites & Endocrine Disruption

A 2022 review (Sharma et al.) draws attention to contaminated industrial sites raising health and environmental injustice issues, noting endocrine disruption and cumulative exposures in vulnerable communities**.**

These cases together demonstrate how regulatory gaps, poor enforcement, inadequate scientific capacity, limited public participation, and weak institutional coordination leave Indians vulnerable to chemical harm.

8. CRITICAL ANALYSIS: GAPS, CHALLENGES, AND BOTTLENECKS

Fragmented and Siloed Regulation

India’s chemical oversight is spread across multiple laws and ministries (environment, labour, agriculture, chemicals), often with overlapping or inconsistent mandates. No single statute unifies chemical lifecycle regulation. Analysts have described this as a "patchwork" regime, vulnerable to jurisdictional disconnects and regulatory blind spots. This fragmentation impairs coherent risk prioritization, coordinated enforcement, and clarity for regulated entities.

Weak Enforcement and Monitoring

Many industrial and smaller scale units escape rigorous inspection or compliance. State pollution boards or labour inspectorates are understaffed, lack technical capacity, or face political pressures. Laboratories to test chemical exposure or residues are unevenly distributed and sometimes under-resourced. As a result, violations in storage, handling, emergency preparedness, or release may go undetected.

Scientific and Data Deficits

A major weakness is inadequate baseline data on environmental concentrations, worker exposures, biomonitoring, health outcome registries, and cumulative exposure assessment. Without reliable data, risk assessment, standards setting, and regulatory prioritization are hampered. The absence of robust epidemiological studies linking chemical exposures to health outcomes in Indian populations constrains regulatory confidence.

Legacy Pollution and Site Management

Many contaminated sites (abandoned chemical plants, mining areas, industrial dumps) persist, often with poor remediation. Communities near these zones bear exposure burdens from soil, water, or air contamination. Addressing such legacy contamination is complex, expensive, and legally and institutionally messy, frequently involving multiple stakeholders and unclear responsibility.

Regulatory Lag and Slow Adaptation

Legal instruments often lag behind scientific evidence or international best practices. Substances banned elsewhere may persist in use in India for long periods. Updating models (e.g. permissible exposure limits, hazard classification, mixture regulation) is slow. The proposed ICMSR is still in draft form and has not been fully enacted or operationalized.

Low Public and Worker Awareness & Participation

Though laws may provide for safety committees, hazard communication, or community involvement, many workers and residents lack awareness of chemical risks, exposure pathways, or rights. Emergency planning often neglects community consultation or drills. The downstream chain (users, retailers) may lack incentive or capacity to enforce safe practices.

Economic & Political Constraints

Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) often find compliance costly and technically challenging. There may be pushback due to fear of regulatory burden, competitiveness concerns, or job impact. States with weaker fiscal or administrative capacity may under-prioritize chemical safety. Political resistance or industry lobbying can slow reforms or dilute regulatory mandates.

Challenges in Mixed & Emerging Risks

Modern chemical challenges—such as endocrine disruptors, nanomaterials, mixture toxicity, low-dose chronic exposures, and dual-use / potential misuse—pose regulatory difficulties. Ensuring effective oversight over such evolving threats requires scientific agility and regulatory foresight. An ORF study on dual-use chemical security in India highlights the need for audits, tracking, and international collaboration in chemical security.

Further, climate change and extreme weather may amplify chemical disaster risks (flooding, release from storage, secondary chemical formation). One recent study examines public health impacts of chemical disasters in the context of climate change in India.

Thus the chemical health challenge is dynamic and multifaceted, requiring adaptive, integrated governance.

9. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR STRENGTHENING THE REGIME

1. **Enact and Enforce a Unified Chemical Safety Act**

Transform the ICMSR draft into a full statute that gives it strong legal footing, ensuring lifecycle coverage (manufacture, import, use, disposal), registration, restriction, authorization, import controls, and hazard communication.

2. **Empower Central Oversight with Coordinated Decentralization**

Constitute the National Chemical Authority as envisaged, with clear powers, funding, and mandate. Establish effective coordination mechanisms bridging environment, labour, health, agriculture, disaster management, and state governments.

3. **Strengthen Technical & Enforcement Capacity**

Invest in laboratory networks across states; accreditation and standardization. Increase staffing and training of inspectorates (pollution, labour) in industrial hygiene, toxicology, exposure monitoring. Enhance frequency and reach of inspections, including in informal and small units.

4. **Establish Robust Surveillance & Data Systems**

Create nationwide databases of chemical inventory, exposure data, biomonitoring, chemical accident registry, health outcome registries (e.g. cancers linked to exposures). Encourage public disclosure (transparency) and data sharing.

5. **Risk Assessment & Standards Tailored to Indian Context**

Derive exposure limits (reference doses, permissible exposure values) based on Indian population, climate conditions, working hours, cumulative exposures. Move from risk acceptance to proactive risk management. Incorporate mixture risk approaches.

6. **Community & Worker Empowerment**

Enforce hazard communication (labels, GHS SDS) in Hindi and regional languages. Mandate safety committees, grievance mechanisms, worker training, whistleblower protections. In emergency planning, mandate public consultation, simulation drills, community alert systems.

7. **Address Legacy Contamination**

Identify, map, and prioritize contaminated sites for remediation. Assign legal liability, ensure “polluter pays,” and allocate funds (e.g. environmental bonds, special remediations). Support community health screening in high-risk areas.

8. **Regulatory Agility for Emerging Risks**

Anticipate and regulate new classes: endocrine disruptors, nanomaterials, mixtures, persistent chemicals. Build capacity for horizon scanning and regulatory updating. Introduce precautionary principles for uncertain risks.

9. Economic Incentives and Support for Compliance

Provide technical assistance, subsidies, or low-interest loans to SMEs to adopt safer alternatives or update infrastructure. Use "regulation with facilitation" rather than punitive approaches only. Encourage green chemistry and safer substitute adoption.

10. International Integration and Best Practices

Adopt GHS universally for classification, labelling, SDS. Benchmark against global standards like EU REACH. Fulfil treaty obligations (Stockholm, Minamata) with domestic enforcement. Cooperate in global chemical data sharing and safety networks.

11. Periodic Review, Stakeholder Engagement & Adaptive Governance

Establish statutory review cycles for chemical regulation (say every 5 years), with inputs from scientists, industry, civil society. Maintain transparency in regulatory decision-making, enable public comment and appeal.

12. Disaster Preparedness and Climate Resilience

Strengthen the role of NDMA and state disaster mechanisms in chemical accident planning. Model worst-case scenarios, account for climate change stresses (flooding, temperature spikes). Prepare secondary chemical risk planning (e.g. formation of toxic byproducts under extreme weather).

10. CONCLUSION

India stands at a critical juncture in aligning its chemical governance with its aspirations of sustainable development, public health protection, and industrial growth. The current legal architecture, though having many components, remains fragmented, enforcement weak, and scientific integration partial. Chemical health risks—from occupational exposures, pesticide drift, environmental pollution, consumer products, to catastrophic accidents—are real and widespread, especially among marginalized communities.

To address these challenges, a paradigm shift is essential. The adoption of a comprehensive, risk-based, and lifecycle-oriented chemical regulatory regime, such as the proposed Indian Chemicals Management and Safety Rules (ICMSR), is urgently required. Such a framework must be supported by stronger institutional capacity, robust surveillance and data systems, context-specific standards, and meaningful community participation. Further, integration of economic incentives and support mechanisms will be crucial to ensure compliance without stifling industrial growth.

India's pathway to chemical safety must rest on the pillars of scientific rigor, transparency, accountability, and inclusivity. By doing so, the nation can reduce the disease burden associated with chemical exposure, mitigate the likelihood of industrial disasters, and protect both current and future generations from avoidable harm. The stakes are high: the human costs of inaction will manifest in preventable illness, mounting healthcare expenditures, ecological degradation, and deepening social inequities. Conversely, proactive reform offers India the chance to position itself as a leader in sustainable industrialization, where economic development and public health are not competing goals but complementary objectives.

A transition toward a unified, risk-based, lifecycle chemical regulatory regime (by enacting the ICMSR or equivalent legislation), coupled with institutional capacity strengthening, data and surveillance systems, community engagement, tailored standards, and economic support, is imperative. Only through integrated, scientifically grounded, transparent, and participatory governance can India hope to reduce the burden of chemical disease, prevent disasters, and ensure that its chemical and industrial growth proceeds in harmony with public health.

The journey ahead is complex, but the cost of inaction is far greater—in human suffering, healthcare burden, environmental degradation, and social inequities. India must seize the opportunity to leap into a mature, health-centered chemical safety paradigm.

REFERENCES

[1] The legal framework to manage chemical pollution in India: a review of policy, legislative and non-regulatory approaches. Sharma, B. M. et al. Science of the Total Environment. 2014.

[2] India REACH Chemical Management & Safety Rules (CMSR) overview. Acquis Compliance (blog).

[3] Unlocking India's Potential in Managing Endocrine Disruption and Contaminated Sites. Sharma, B. M. et al. (PMC).

[4] Pesticide Pollution in India: Environmental and Health Risks. Kashyap, U. et al. ScienceDirect. 2024.

[5] Occupational Hazards in the Chemical Industry in India. Salvi, J. et al. Innovational Journal of Nursing & Health. 2022.

[6] ICMSR / Indian Chemicals Management & Safety Rules – regulatory structure and details. IndianChemicalRegulation.com.

[7] Raise of India REACH: India's draft chemical safety rules. Enhesa article.

[8] Need for Technical Standards in India's Chemical Sector – reflections on ICMSR and GHS. Indian Chemical News (2025). ICMSR implementation details. CEHTRA (consulting/analysis).

[9] Draft Indian Chemical Management and Safety Rules (PDF). PSC Initiative.

[10] Analysis of Indian Chemical Industry in post-COVID era.

[11] Enhancing the Security of Dual-Use Chemicals in India. ORF.

[12] Public Health Impact of Chemical Disasters under Climate Change – India case. IJRSM. 2025.

[13] Chemicals in India: a cocktail of health risks. BritSafe India (safety advocacy).

[14] List of banned and restricted pesticides in India (Wikipedia compilation).

[15] Visakhapatnam gas leak – chemical accident case.

[16] Bhopal disaster – the chemical tragedy.